UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISION

CHESTER A. WILSON,) CASE NO. 5:10cv1530
PETITIONER,)) JUDGE SARA LIOI
vs.) MEMORANDUM ORINION AND
) MEMORANDUM OPINION AND) ORDER
WELLS FARGO HOME)
MORTGAGE, INC., aka Wells Fargo)
Bank, N.A.,)
)
RESPONDENT.)

This action was originally filed in the Court of Common Pleas of Stark County, Ohio. Defendant Wells Fargo Home Mortgage, Inc., aka Wells Fargo Bank N.A., removed the case to this Court on the basis of diversity of citizenship, 28 U.S.C. § 1332. Plaintiff Chester A. Wilson alleges that the Defendant may not be the creditor on a note and therefore not the real party in interest in a foreclosure action. He questions the authority of all dates and signatures on all documents. No facts are set forth in the Complaint.

While *pro se* pleadings are liberally construed, *Boag v. MacDougall*, 454 U.S. 364, 365 (1982) (per curiam); *Haines v. Kerner*, 404 U.S. 519, 520 (1972), the district court may dismiss an action *sua sponte* if the complaint is so "implausible, attenuated, unsubstantial, frivolous, devoid of merit, or no longer open to discussion" as to deprive the court of jurisdiction. *Apple v. Glenn*, 183 F.3d 477, 479 (6th Cir. 1999) (*citing Hagans v. Lavine*, 415 U.S. 528, 536-37 (1974)). Under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 8(a)(2), a pleading must contain a "short and plain statement of the claim showing that the pleader is entitled to relief." *Ashcroft v. Iqbal*, 129 S.Ct. 1937, 1949 (2009). "*Pro se* plaintiffs are not automatically entitled to take every case to

Case: 5:10-cv-01530-SL Doc #: 7 Filed: 08/11/10 2 of 2. PageID #: 28

trial." Price v. Caruso, 451 F. Supp. 2d 889, 893 (E. D. Mich. 2006) (quoting Pilgrim v.

Littlefield, 92 F.3d 413, 416 (6th Cir.1996)). For the reason stated below, this action is dismissed.

The Complaint merely consists of Plaintiff's conclusion that a mortgage is invalid and

that foreclosure is inappropriate. Absent are any facts demonstrating that Plaintiff is somehow

involved with the Defendant. Because the Complaint is so weak and unsubstantial, it must be

dismissed pursuant to Iqbal, 129 S. Ct. at 1949. Accordingly, this action is dismissed.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: August 11, 2010

HONORABLE SARA LIOI

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE